

AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT				1. CONTRACT ID CODE		PAGE OF PAGES 1 1	
2. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. A002		3. EFFECTIVE DATE AUG 10, 2007		4. REQUISITION/PURCHASE REQ. NO. EDNIFL-07-900011		5. PROJECT NO. (If applicable)	
6. ISSUED BY National Institute for Literacy 1775 I Street NW, Suite 730 Washington DC 20006-2417				7. ADMINISTERED BY (If other than Item 6) See Block 6			
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR (No., street, county, State and ZIP Code)				(X) 9A. AMENDMENT OF SOLICITATION NO. ED-07-R-0068 X 9B. DATED (SEE ITEM 11) JUL 23, 2007 10A. MODIFICATION OF CONTRACT/ORDER NO. 10B. DATED (SEE ITEM 13)			
CODE		FACILITY CODE					

11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDMENTS OF SOLICITATIONS

☒ The above numbered solicitation is amended as set forth in Item 14. The hour and date specified for receipt of Offers ☒ is extended, ☐ is not extended.

Offers must acknowledge receipt of this amendment prior to the hour and date specified in the solicitation or as amended, by one of the following methods:
 (a) By completing items 8 and 15, and returning _____ copies of the amendment; (b) By acknowledging receipt of this amendment on each copy of the offer submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which includes a reference to the solicitation and amendment numbers. FAILURE OF YOUR ACKNOWLEDGMENT TO BE RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE RECEIPT OF OFFERS PRIOR TO THE HOUR AND DATE SPECIFIED MAY RESULT IN REJECTION OF YOUR OFFER. If by virtue of this amendment your desire to change an offer already submitted, such change may be made by telegram or letter, provided each telegram or letter makes reference to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received prior to the opening hour and date specified.

12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA (If required)

See Schedule

13. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO MODIFICATION OF CONTRACTS/ORDERS. IT MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. AS DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.

CHECK ONE	A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUED PURSUANT TO: (Specify authority) THE CHANGES SET FORTH IN ITEM 14 ARE MADE IN THE CONTRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A.
	B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTRACT/ORDER IS MODIFIED TO REFLECT THE ADMINISTRATIVE CHANGES (such as changes in paying office, appropriation date, etc.) SET FORTH IN ITEM 14, PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY OF FAR 43.103(b).
	C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT IS ENTERED INTO PURSUANT TO AUTHORITY OF:
	D. OTHER (Specify type of modification and authority)

E. IMPORTANT: Contractor ☐ is not, ☐ is required to sign this document and return _____ copies to the issuing office.

14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION (Organized by UCF section headings, including solicitation/contract subject matter where feasible.)

The Evaluation Criteria that was posted on July 27, 2007 contained an error in the scoring. This Amendment revises Evaluation Criteria. Please use the revised Evaluation Form (dated Aug. 9, 2007) in developing your response to the solicitation. Due to errors, the deadline for final proposals is extended to August 22, 2007.

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the document referenced in Item 9A or 10A, as heretofore changed, remains unchanged and in full force and effect.

15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR		16B. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	
(Signature of person authorized to sign)		Sandra A. Bartsch (Signature of Contracting Officer)	
15C. DATE SIGNED		16C. DATE SIGNED	
		8.10.07	

Proposal Evaluation Criteria

All proposals will be evaluated in accordance with the following evaluation factors and the respective point values assigned. An award will be made to the responsible offeror (1) whose proposal conforms to the solicitation and is most advantageous to the Government and (2) based on availability of funds. For this solicitation, price will be a substantial factor in source selection, but technical factors are significantly more important than cost. The Institute's Contracting Officer will determine whether the difference in quality is worth the difference in cost or price.

Evaluation Criteria	Points
1. QUALITY OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL	40
A. The technical proposal demonstrates the offeror's clear understanding of the tasks outlined in the Statement of Work and the requirements for submitting the proposal within the instructional cover letter.	20
B. The technical proposal demonstrates the offeror's field knowledge of instructional design and online learning methods and provides clear explanations regarding the requirements for this project. If offeror lacks expert knowledge in one or more of the areas, the technical proposal will show that the offeror has established partnerships with other individuals or organizations to supply the missing expertise.	20
2. QUALITY OF KEY PERSONNEL	30
A. The technical proposal adequately describes the staff hours needed for each task and that the offeror has dedicated the appropriate amount of staff hours to complete each deliverable task by the assigned due date presented in the statement of work.	20
B. The technical proposal clearly shows that the key personnel who will be assigned to work on each of the tasks outlined in the statement of work have the required professional expertise and content technical knowledge, as outlined above in Section 1B.	10
3. QUALITY OF MANAGEMENT PLAN	20
A. The technical proposal provides clear, logical, and specific plans, with provisions for identifying and correcting deficiencies, and a process for ensuring quality and timeliness of the final product.	15
B. The technical proposal will include a statement describing how the contractor will address organizational and other conflicts of interest for persons who are consultants or who work for organizations with potential conflicts.	5
4. REQUIRED CORPORATE EXPERIENCE AND CAPABILITY	10
A. The technical proposal describes the offeror's relevant past performance in projects of comparable size, complexity, and similarity to the objectives of this requirement.	10
TOTAL POSSIBLE SCORE	100

Attachment B.1
Solicitation Number: ED-07-R-0068

TECHNICAL MERIT ratings reflect the Government's confidence in each offeror's ability, as demonstrated in its proposal, to perform the requirements stated in the Statement of Work.

FINAL NUMERICAL SCORES	DEFINITION
90-100	Proposal demonstrates excellent understanding of requirements and approach that significantly exceeds performance or capability standards. Have exceptional strengths that will significantly benefit the Government.
80-89	Proposal demonstrates good understanding of requirements and approach that exceeds performance or capability standards. Have one or more strengths that will benefit the Government.
70-79	Proposal demonstrates acceptable understanding of requirements and approach that meets performance or capability standards. Acceptable solution. Few or no strengths.
60-69	Proposal demonstrates shallow understanding of requirements and approach that only marginally meets performance or capability standards necessary for minimal but acceptable contract performance.
<60	Fails to meet performance or capability standards. Requirements can only be met with major changes to proposal.